

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE AGENDA**  
**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 16, 2005**

**- CALL TO ORDER**

**MINUTES:**

PRESENT: COUNCILMEMBERS WOLFSON and TARKANIAN

Also Present: CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED and DEPUTY CITY CLERK GABRIELA PORTILLO-BRENNER

**- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW**

**MINUTES:**

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE - meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

City Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall Plaza, 2nd Floor Skybridge

Court Clerk's Office Bulletin Board, City Hall Plaza

Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway

Grant Sawyer Building, 555 E. Washington Avenue

(4:05)

1-1

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 16, 2005**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐ **CONSENT**

☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

Bill No. 2005-42 - Establishes the "Rural Preservation Overlay District." Proposed by: M. Margo Wheeler, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact:**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In 1999 the Nevada Legislature established provisions for the protection of rural preservation neighborhoods within both the incorporated and unincorporated areas of Clark County. Those provisions expired last year without being renewed by the Legislature. It has been proposed that the City enact similar legislation as an alternative to additional State legislation. This bill will accomplish the objective by creating the Rural Preservation Overlay District, in which proposed development will be reviewed in the light of its impact on the rural character of the area.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Bill No. 2005-42
2. Submitted at meeting: fascimile with attached letter from Sean Cassidy

**MOTION:**

**COUNCILMAN WOLFSON recommended Bill 2005-42 be held in ABEYANCE to the 9/20/2005 Recommending Committee meeting. COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN concurred.**

**NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN disclosed that she lives in the neighborhood preservation area, which was established before she was elected to the Council. She asked CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED if she could vote. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED could not find any reason why she could not vote, as she has been in an area that has been subject to State law from 1999 to 2004. Since the law sunset, staff has been treating the properties within the preservation area the same as if the law had been in effect. Even though she could not see how this ordinance might benefit her financially, COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN asked CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED if he could find a reason. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED stated that there are those who say that residing in a rural preservation district preserves the area longer than it would be otherwise. On the other hand, there are those who say that a person might benefit from not residing in a preservation district because development would increase property values. However, he did not believe that anything would change significantly in COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN'S neighborhood whether or not this ordinance is adopted. COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN stated that there are properties in her**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 16, 2005**

**MOTION - Continued:**

**neighborhood that have retained the rural preservation designation, because they have a few certain types of animals, but not like areas in the Northwest. But her neighborhood is past the time where this ordinance might benefit her. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED said that the legislature had to pick a designation, and it chose "rural" because of the density.**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON declared the Public Hearing open.

TOM PERRIGO, Planning and Development, reported that in 1999 the Nevada Legislature passed rural preservation neighborhood legislation which would sunset in May 2004. The legislation established rural preservation neighborhoods throughout the City. During that time, Clark County and the City of Henderson each adopted an ordinance to continue the rural preservation legislation. The City was asked to do the same this past year, and this ordinance continues the rural preservation legislation within the City. It includes the exact same neighborhoods identified under State statute and the same rules. However, the buffers were not included, because they are very difficult to manage and the Council and the Planning Commission will still have discretion on actions taken within the buffer areas. The County and Henderson also excluded the buffer areas for the same reasons.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN clarified with MR. PERRIGO that the ordinance continues the legislation approved up to May 2004, except for the buffers, and that the County and Henderson are doing the same. MR. PERRIGO indicated that nothing will change from the City's perspective. If this ordinance is adopted, staff will continue to preserve the rural neighborhoods as it has for the past five years under State statute. He explained that the only difference with Clark County's ordinance is that the buffer areas are identified, allowing the County Commission discretion as to what sorts of projects would be approved within a particular buffer area.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN then asked if the map includes the same areas as legislation through 2004. MR. PERRIGO answered in the affirmative, adding that there have been very slight changes to the map, because the areas have changed since the legislation was enacted. The changes do not have any significance and include perhaps no more than two parcels that no longer fit the definition within the respective areas due to different development.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON asked for the pros and the cons with this legislation. MR. PERRIGO answered that the pros include establishment of the districts clearly and upfront. On the negative side, individual property owners wanting change within a preservation district might find it difficult to make changes, because of a general consensus to remain rural.

ED GOBEL, Las Vegas resident, complained about not being able to obtain and view a more detailed map than the one provided in the backup, which is not legible in hardcopy or on the Internet.

He continued and expressed his appreciation to the City for introducing this bill. However, he indicated that it varies significantly from SB391 of the 1999 Legislative Session. He outlined the significant variations: Under Section 1(A), the verbiage was changed to "as deemed necessary," where SB391 required good cause. Under Section 2 (3), it states "Which has no more than two residential dwelling units per acre," where SB391 requires three residential units per acre.

## RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 16, 2005

### MINUTES - Continued:

MR. GOBEL also proposed the following amendments in order to stay consistent with SB391: Section 1 (B) (2), that the width of the streets or highways requirement be changed to one hundred nineteen feet; and Section 2 (3), that the requirement change to three residential dwelling units per acre. He explained that changing the width requirement of the streets or highways will take care of all the residential units not located along Craig (120 feet wide) or Rancho (over 200 feet wide). MR. PERRIGO explained that the language contained in Section 2 (3), regarding the ninety-nine-foot width of a street or highway, is directly out of State statute, and staff mapped it out.

LINDA WEST-MYERS concurred with the concerns and changes her brother, MR. GOBEL, discussed. She added that it is wonderful that the City is carrying forward the idea of rural preservation and not waiting for the legislature to make demands.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON stated that, since Recommending is merely a recommending committee, the City Council would have ultimate discretion regarding this bill at its meeting of September 7, 2005. He encouraged those present in opposition to participate in further hearings before that time with staff and to go over the referenced documentation for possible inconsistencies. Any outstanding issues could be presented to the full Council. MR. PERRIGO remarked that he would be happy to meet with the residents regarding their concerns on this bill, and to peruse any available documentation. COUNCILMAN WOLFSON verified with CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED that the Council could make modifications to the bill even if the Recommending Committee sends it forward as introduced.

GEORGE MUNNS, Las Vegas resident, commented that at its November 19, 2003, meeting, the City Council voted unanimously against SB391. The neighborhood he lived in fit every description of a rural preservation neighborhood, yet the City Council voted to allow construction of a 238-two-story-apartment complex next to half-acre homes. Mayor Goodman and some of the other members of Council opined that the community should be integrated with apartments and other higher densities. If this is still the consensus of the entire Council, what is the purpose of the Rural Preservation Overlay District? He opined that the words "due cause" leave the law open to interpretation by the Council.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON asked MR. PERRIGO if the new bill contains language similar to the State's statute, such as "due cause." MR. PERRIGO did not believe it does.

VELMA MUNNS, Las Vegas resident, stated that she unsuccessfully tried to obtain the signature of JAMES PORTER on one of her petitions against the opposition of rural preservation at 3050 North Jones Boulevard, where the apartments are going to be built. She also tried to get assistance from TOM COLLINS. Nobody would help because of the commercial on the northern boundary. But all of the current uses existed when the neighborhood in which she resides was designated a rural preservation neighborhood, including the Rite-Aid. The residents were not even demanding that the neighborhood at Heather Mist and Brooks remain at a density of three homes to the acre. Nobody would listen. She suggested drafting a new bill altogether that excludes the word "rural," because, in essence, the rural areas are not being preserved. For the most part, certain lifestyles are being preserved. A toothless law is worthless.

## RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 16, 2005

### MINUTES - Continued:

MIKE MALONE, President, Northwest Area Residents Association (NARA), appeared representing 742 residents. He mentioned that numerous emails and notifications have been sent to the residents of NARA notifying them of this bill. He has received no opposition thus far. The residents would like the bill approved as introduced, including the two units per acre.

MARILYN KIRKPATRICK, resident of North Las Vegas and Assemblywoman for District One, which has well over 16 homes within a rural-type neighborhood, stated that, at this legislative session, she submitted a bill draft to eliminate the sunset clause. However, after research, she found that most of the municipalities, except the City of Las Vegas, had adopted an ordinance that was comparable to State law. She then approached the City of Las Vegas' lobbying team and the City Manager to discuss whether there was something that could be done in the City to protect the residents, because there are so many residents in the City that are losing the long-time rural character of their neighborhood. After discussions with MR. PERRIGO, CHRIS KNIGHT, Director, and TED OLIVAS, Government Relations Manager, Administrative Services Department, she walked some of the affected neighborhoods and talked to the residents to get a feel for their concerns.

In reviewing the Master Plan and in pondering on the Rural Preservation State statute, MS. KIRKPATRICK concluded that the intent of Bill No. 2005-42 is to protect the residents in the interior of the rural preservation district boundaries from having to change their zoning designation. She cited the following example: At the corner of Bradley Road and Ano Drive, a homeowner in the middle of the street decided to sell, so the homeowner is attempting to rezone the property to four units to the acre. Since there is no ordinance in place, the whole matter would have to be looked at legally. The ordinance will protect the people on the inside. Another example is the property behind the Westcare, located at the corner of Rancho Road and Duncan. There is no law to protect it from being rezoned. The map in this bill establishes the area as rural and protects the property.

MS. KIRKPATRICK noted that North Las Vegas does use 99 feet for the rights-of-way, and the area must have ten contiguous houses, so it does not apply to properties that have not been developed. After meeting with residents in the areas of Jones and Elkhorn and La Madre and Michael Way, which are zoned for ranch estate homes, she found that they agree with this bill. Even though it is not flawless, it will help to maintain the interior of the ranch-estate areas, where 90 percent of the residents have owned their homes for more than ten years and should be able to maintain the lifestyle they bought into.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON confirmed with MS. KIRKPATRICK that she is satisfied with the language in the proposed bill. MS. KIRKPATRICK added that she is willing to work with MR. PERRIGO on the definition of "good cause." Also, COMMISSIONER TOM COLLINS and COUNCILMAN ROSS reviewed the bill and agreed that it is a start.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, said that, when he moved to Las Vegas 54 years ago, the entire Southern Nevada area could have been designated rural preservation. Who would have guessed Las Vegas would grow so much. He then asked who originated this bill, where is there a legible map, and if a task force exists for rural preservation. Finally, he recommended the bill be renamed The New Generation of Vanishing Americans Bill.

CAROL GOLDBLUM, who resides in the area of Cheyenne and Rainbow, stated it is wonderful to

## RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 16, 2005

### MINUTES - Continued:

preserve the homes in the rural areas. However, she felt that homes on roads wider than 99 feet, such as on Alexander, Jones, or Bally, should also be included, because these people also have horses. The bill should be amended to include these areas.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON asked if bills have ever been introduced that required public hearings, other than at the Recommending Committee, before being considered by the Council, because there are a lot of people with good ideas that could have been considered before this meeting. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED replied that there have been situations where proposals surfaced and hearings were held before an actual bill was introduced. The typical procedure is to introduce a bill and hold the public hearing before the Recommending Committee members, who then consider it and take action, whether it be sending it forward with an approval or with no recommendation, placing it in abeyance, or tabling it. But additional public hearings are not usually held for a bill.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON asked if it would be unusual for meetings, where the public is invited to partake, to be held before the Recommending Committee meeting. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED answered in the affirmative, explaining that some of the master plans the City has adopted have gone through a great deal of public input.

JOHN LELEU, Attorney, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared representing the Focus Property Group, which is indifferent about the Rural Preservation Overlay District. However, it does have two concerns on two parcels. City staff has indicated that certain parcels (APN-125-01-402-001 through 016 and APN-125-01-301-006) are no longer a part of the preservation overlay district, yet they are still included in the map. The Focus Group would like these removed from the map accordingly. COUNCILMAN WOLFSON suggested to ATTORNEY LELEU that he meet with City staff first to ascertain whether his map is current or not.

ATTORNEY LELEU indicated that another parcel the Focus Group is concerned about is APN-125-35-701-004, which the Focus Group is in the process of acquiring. SEAN CASSIDY, the owner of this property has written a letter requesting disclosing his intention to request a zoning change to remove his property from the current rural preservation status.

CEDRIC CREAR, 1931 Mills Circle, reviewed the map with MR. PERRIGO to ascertain that Bonanza Village is included in the Rural Preservation Overlay District. He suggested a clearer map be made available, as well as the ability to go on an Internet site that tells a property owner whether his/her property is designated rural preservation.

DON FRAZIER, resident of Las Vegas living in the NARA area, said he would like the rural preservation ordinance to be stronger, but he prefers it as introduced than nothing at all.

DEAN JEADER, retired teacher of 35 years, indicated that the problem of a lack of affordable housing for teachers has been going on since he moved to Las Vegas. What helped him provide a decent home for his family was purchasing half an acre and building his home in phases. The smaller lots available today are owned by developers, and they are not affordable. Moreover, his neighborhood is full of very active people who own multiple cars, boats, or motor homes, and are able to move freely because of the spacious neighborhoods. People with these vehicles in higher-density, congested neighborhoods have to

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 16, 2005**

**MINUTES - Continued:**

meander through the streets. He believes that allowing higher density creates more crime and flooding zones. He noted that he is in favor of this bill.

From a show of hands, COUNCILMAN WOLFSON noted that about six people were in favor of the essence of this bill, and two people were in opposition.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON discussed with CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED the various action options the Recommending Committee could take on this bill. He was leaning toward forwarding it to the full Council without a recommendation without any amendments, because there were many good suggestions that should be considered. He also felt the bill needed further study. However, MARGO WHEELER, Director, Planning and Development, suggested holding the bill in abeyance for 30 days in order to allow time to address some of the concerns, even though staff will never be able to make everyone happy. But there were some modifications suggested that are appropriate and serve the intent of the bill.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON agreed to hold it, with the idea that anybody present could provide input to staff, that a better map be made available, and that the map be put on the Internet. MS. WHEELER concurred.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN also concurred. She encouraged people to contact Planning staff with their concerns, because this is a very important matter that should be clarified.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:05 - 4:55)

1-9

RECESS: 4:55 - 4:57 P.M.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 16, 2005**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐ **CONSENT**

☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2005-43 - Updates the City's handicapped parking provisions to conform to State law. Proposed by: Michael Sheldon, Director of Detention and Enforcement

**Fiscal Impact:**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Nevada Legislature has made a number of changes regarding handicapped parking since the City last revised the corresponding Municipal Code provisions. Included are 1) provisions regarding parking for side-loading wheelchair lifts, and 2) increased penalties for violations. This bill will update the Municipal Code accordingly.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2005-43

**MOTION:**

**COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN recommended Bill 2005-43 be forwarded to the Full Council with a DO PASS recommendation. COUNCILMAN WOLFSON concurred.**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON declared the Public Hearing open.

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED reported that the legislature took action to make changes regarding handicap parking. Those jurisdictions that do not have a civil parking system and enforce parking violations criminally do not need to implement the State law. Since the City has a civil enforcement system for parking violations, it is necessary to update the City ordinances to reflect those changes made in State law, which this ordinance accomplishes. This ordinance makes adjustments in the civil fines for violations, adds language regarding the side-loading wheelchair lifts, and acknowledges the most recent changes in State law that allows less than two license plates on certain types of vehicles. Staff recommends approval.

ED GOBEL, Las Vegas resident, was very appreciative about this ordinance. In the 1999 Legislative Session, SB338, which he partly authored, was approved, yet it is barely going to be enforced. SB338 applies to van-accessible-handicap parking, which are the spaces that are eight-foot wide and diagonally marked. Before SB338 passed, every single ticket for parking in this type of handicap parking space was thrown out of court, because there was no law prohibiting people to park in these spaces. People were expected to use common courtesy and decency and not park in these spaces. SB338 required that proper



## RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 16, 2005

### MINUTES - Continued:

signs be posted. Secondly, van accessible spaces, which are one out of every eight handicap parking spaces, are for vehicles with side-loading wheelchairs. He noted that he recently noticed that the Sun Coast Casino and Vons put in all the correct spaces, but the City has yet to do it and has been the worst city in the United States in doing this. After being lax for six years, the City is finally going to implement State law, which restores the human dignity, independence, and freedom, mostly, for those who fought for this country. The next issue is to find a solution for those that get handicap placards that may or may not need them.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN said this is an important bill.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON asked CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED why the penalties are the same for first and second offenses. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED said that the language comes directly from State law. MR. GOBEL rejoined that State law also includes a penalty of community service. To keep it civil, a \$250 fine is imposed for the first offense, but, since under civil law community service cannot be imposed, the fine for a second offense was also set at \$250. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED indicated that he would find out where it came from and relay that information to COUNCILMAN WOLFSON.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident and retired disabled American Veteran, commended the Recommending Committee for its pro-active stance on this bill. He also indicated that the millions of American military personnel who have lost their limbs are applauding the members of this committee. He suggested COUNCILMEMBERS WOLFSON and TARKANIAN compel the rest of the Council members to exhibit the same courage.

DEAN JEADER said that while he was a member of the Nevada Association for the Handicapped for seven years, one of the issues that frequently came up was the way the architects draw the parking lots, thus making it harder for handicapped people to manipulate their vehicles around all the islands and curbing. COUNCILMAN WOLFSON indicated that this bill does not address that issue. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED suggested MR. JEADER contact the Public Works Department, if his issue concerns public property.

CARL OWENS, Las Vegas resident, commended the City on this bill. However, he indicated that he is concerned about enforcement. DOUG TOWNER, Senior Parking Enforcement Officer, Detention and Enforcement Department, explained that up to now the problem has been civil versus criminal offenses. Parking Enforcement Officers issue civil citations, so this bill will provide clarifications and allow Parking Enforcement Officers to enforce this law on both public and private properties. COUNCILMAN WOLFSON restated that there will be a greater ability for enforcement with the adoption of this bill. MR. TOWNER added that the law written under Nevada Revised Statutes required the issuance of misdemeanor citations, which City Parking Enforcement Officers cannot issue.

No one appeared in opposition.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:57 - 5:11)

1-1714

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 16, 2005**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐ **CONSENT**

☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

Bill No. 2005-44 - Clarifies the amount of signage permitted in connection with garage or yard sales.  
Proposed by: Orlando Sanchez, Director of Neighborhood Services

**Fiscal Impact:**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Municipal Code provisions regarding garage or yard sales establish limitations on signage for such sales. At the same time, the sign chapter of the Code establishes slightly different limitations, creating conflict and inconsistency in interpretation. This bill will remove the conflict and confirm that no more than one sign per sale is permitted. The sign must not exceed sixteen square feet in size and must be located on private property.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2005-44

**MOTION:**

**COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN recommended Bill 2005-44 be forwarded to the Full Council with a DO PASS recommendation. COUNCILMAN WOLFSON concurred.**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON declared the Public Hearing open.

ORLANDO SANCHEZ, Director, Neighborhood Services, stated that this is a cleanup bill to establish consistency between Sections 19.14.040 (allows three signs per event) and 19.04.020 (allows no more than one outdoor sign to be used). This bill will allow only one sign. Staff recommends approval.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON asked if each section applies to different types of garage sales. MR. SANCHEZ answered that one was for sign standards and the other for accessory structures.

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, mentioned that the Arts District holds First Friday events every first Friday of every month. He asked how this ordinance applies to the Arts District, or any similar district, where the proliferation of indoor and outdoor sales, signage and activities take place. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED replied that this bill affects only single-family-residential districts; it does not apply to commercial businesses.

MR. MCGOWAN asked what happened to the Fourteenth Amendment of equal protection of the law.

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 16, 2005**

**MINUTES - Continued:**

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED replied that this amendment is to an existing ordinance, which involves single-family residences, and not to all ordinances in the Municipal Code. MR. McGOWAN appreciated CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED'S response to a limited extent, because he felt it was somewhat offensive and not fully detailed. Perhaps in the future CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED could elaborate fully.

No one appeared in opposition.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON declared the Public Hearing closed.

(5:11 - 5:16)

1-2283

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: AUGUST 16, 2005**

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION: PUBLIC COMMENT DURING THIS PORTION OF THE AGENDA MUST BE LIMITED TO MATTERS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE COMMITTEE. NO SUBJECT MAY BE ACTED UPON BY THE COMMITTEE UNLESS THAT SUBJECT IS ON THE AGENDA AND IS SCHEDULED FOR ACTION. IF YOU WISH TO BE HEARD, COME TO THE PODIUM AND GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD. THE AMOUNT OF DISCUSSION ON ANY SINGLE SUBJECT, AS WELL AS THE AMOUNT OF TIME ANY SINGLE SPEAKER IS ALLOWED, MAY BE LIMITED

**MINUTES:**

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, commented that there was recent publicity on a prominent member of the City Council expressing commendation with regard to the Streamline high-rise condominium development. Apparently, that location is served by CAT Bus Nos. 301, 302, 107, 109, 113, and several others. He posed the following questions: How many people riding the bus will occupy these expensive condominiums? How many of the tenants will drive their Lexus or Mercedes vehicles? How many will walk? How many will be seniors, children, or grandchildren? He stated that all these questions lead to the question of where is the sense of community going to be in this building and when, if ever, will there be satisfactory bus service or public mass transit of any kind. He stressed that the members of the public, whether veterans or not, have the right to adequate public transportation in whatever place of residence they live. He suggested an answer be provided within five days. If the members of the City Council cannot resolve this issue, they should all vacate their public office.

(5:16 - 5:19)

1-2511

THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 5:19 P.M.

Respectfully submitted:

---

Gabriela Portillo-Brenner, Deputy City Clerk  
August 26, 2005